

Willows High School
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year
Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Willows High School
Street	203 North Murdock Avenue
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988
Phone Number	(530) 934-6611
Principal	Holly McLaughlin
E-mail Address	hmclaughlin@willowsunified.org
Web Site	
CDS Code	11-62661-1132861

District Contact Information	
District Name	Willows Unified School District
Phone Number	530.934.6600
Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.
E-mail Address	mgeivett@willowsunified.org
Web Site	www.willowsunified.org

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Willows High School is a four-year comprehensive high school. It is located in Glenn County in the Northern Sacramento Valley. Willows High School is one of four schools in the Willows Unified School District with an approximate enrollment of 440.

Willows High School was granted a three year WASC accreditation in 2012 and prides itself in preparing students for several different avenues beyond high school. On the average, 40% of each class over the last five years took the SAT and 19% took the ACT as part of their preparation for higher education; the many Career Technical Education Programs offered at the school provides students options for vocational pathways.

There is a full slate of athletic teams from freshmen sports to varsity sports for both males and females to participate in at Willows High School. These include football, volleyball, swimming, tennis, basketball, wrestling, soccer, track, golf, baseball and softball. The high school is a member of the Sacramento Valley League under the direction of the Northern Section of the California Interscholastic Federation. In addition to the athletics program, there are clubs and leadership organizations such as Cultural Awareness Club, Friday Night Live, S.W.A.T. (Students Working Against Tobacco), Future Farmers of America, California Scholastic Federation, Willows High Music Club, Interact Club, Academic Decathlon, and the Spanish Club.

In a recent parent survey, the parents indicated they feel a sense of involvement, feel welcome and they support the school and its goals. There are two active parent/community support organizations, the Music Boosters and the Willows High School Boosters. Both organizations provide invaluable assistance in the operation of the athletic, academic and music programs. Each year the Willows High Boosters' clubs donate approximately \$20,000 annually to those programs. Also, each year the parents of the seniors organize a Sober Grad Night. In addition, there are many organizations and service clubs that provide scholarships and educational grants to our deserving graduating seniors. We continue to improve and upgrade our access to the world of knowledge via computer technology and the Internet for our staff and students. We are preparing to meet the challenge of our changing local student population and the curricular changes that are being implemented by the school board, the State Department of Education and the Governor. We are committed to providing the best education possible for all our students in a safe, inviting atmosphere designed with our students' success in mind.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	122
Grade 10	95
Grade 11	100
Grade 12	114
Total Enrollment	431

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.3
Asian	6.5
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	43.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9
White	44.8
Two or More Races	0.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	59.9
English Learners	8.1
Students with Disabilities	10.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	20	19	19	61
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	5

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-08-2014

Based on requirements from the Williams Legislation, all students are to have the most current textbooks and the textbooks must be aligned to the standards. In all core curriculum areas, the most current, standards aligned textbooks have been purchased and every student has a textbook.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Language Network, Language of Literature (McDougal Littell)	Yes	0
Mathematics	Algebra 1, Algebra 2, Geometry, Pre-Calculus, Calculus (Prentice Hall), CPM (College Preparatory Mathematics)	Yes	0
Science	Biology An Everyday Experience, Science of Earth Systems, Biology Living Systems, Chemistry (Glencoe, Holt, & Thompson Learning)	Yes	0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	AP US History, American Reconstruction, Enduring Vision, The Americans, World History Patterns of Interaction (Houghton-Mifflin & McDougal Littell)	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Willows High School Construction Dates:

Ag Building – Unit 600 - 1947

Main Building/Boiler – 200 - 1948

Garage - 1950

Ag Storage/Greenhouse 1963

Cafetorium/Gym Unit – 100 - 1965

Industrial Arts Shops – Units 400-500 - 1976

Counseling – enclosed, was a porch in 1967

Willows High School prides itself in being a safe, clean, well-kept campus. Two full-time custodians clean the school on a daily basis with the restrooms being cleaned daily. District-wide maintenance and grounds personnel are available to all schools in the district, providing well-groomed landscaping and ensuring that the facilities are always in operational order.

In 1994, all rooms in the main building had air conditioning and heating installed. The biology, chemistry, physical science, home economics, EL rooms were refurbished with state modernization funding. The library has been modernized and an 18-station computer lab and is available for student use. In addition, a new 30- station computer lab is available to all students.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 10-08-2014				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	50	42	50	48	39	44	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	44
All Student at the School	50
Male	52
Female	48
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	42
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	60
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	39	35	34	42	43	42	54	56	55
Mathematics	13	16	8	35	34	30	49	50	50
History-Social Science	30	22	28	31	24	29	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	3	2	2
Similar Schools	1	2	1

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-11	-26	-6
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-14	16	-37
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	9	-70	27
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-37	-6	-11
English Learners	-45	20	-3
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2013-14)

Some high schools offer courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE is formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2013-14)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	431
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	14%
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	8%

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2013-14 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	46.98
2012-13 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	8.74

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts	46	31	46	46	31	43	56	57	56
Mathematics	47	38	46	45	38	43	58	60	62

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	57	23	20	57	37	6
All Students at the School	54	25	21	54	40	6
Male	61	27	12	56	36	8
Female	47	23	30	52	43	5
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	67	22	11	61	36	2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White	37	26	37	52	41	7
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	62	24	14	58	40	2
English Learners	100			100		
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	18.6	24.8	31.9

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parents have many opportunities to become involved in their child's education: Back to School Night, Project Night, Willows High Booster Club, Music Booster Club, School Site Council, English Language Advisory Committees, and as a Parent Volunteer in the classroom and through the many clubs on campus.

Please contact the school principal, Holly McLaughlin at 530-934-6611, to find out how you can participate.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Dropout Rate	11.5	13.6	10.6	15.3	16.8	15.0	14.7	13.1	11.4
Graduation Rate	85.84	77.12	86.73	80.65	74.81	82.68	77.14	78.87	80.44

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements (Graduating Class of 2013)

Group	Graduating Class of 2013		
	School	District	State
All Students	81.10	82.09	84.56
Black or African American	0.00	0.00	75.90
American Indian or Alaska Native	66.67	66.67	77.82
Asian	60.00	60.00	92.94
Filipino	100.00	100.00	92.20
Hispanic or Latino	83.64	87.50	80.83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100.00	100.00	84.06
White	80.65	80.60	90.15
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00	89.03
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.54	83.10	82.58
English Learners	50.00	66.67	53.68
Students with Disabilities	72.73	72.73	60.31

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	6.2	7.5	8.00	3.3	5.6	4.00	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure-Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is high priority at Willows High School. The plan was reviewed and updated September, 2014.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	No	
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 4	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	100.0

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2011-12				2012-13				2013-14			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	31.6	6	9	5	15	25	8	4	15	21	6	3
Mathematics	24.8	6	8	3	17	13	8	3	16	15	8	1
Science	31.3	0	7	4	25	3	7	3	20	8	5	1
Social Science	28.2	4	5	4	23	6	6	5	16	11	9	1

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.75	252
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.39	---
Psychologist		---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	0.32	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		---
Resource Specialist		---
Other		---

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$7,908	\$848	\$7,060	\$77,831
District	---	---	\$30,771	\$71,574
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-77.1	8.7
State	---	---	\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	50.5	34.4

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

We are required to report financial data from the 2012-13 school year by the California Department of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office. It is important to note when comparing Teacher and Administrative Salaries, these salaries include BOSS (Benefits on Salary Schedule).

Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2012-13 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

Next to the figures for the district and state averages, we show the percentage by which the school's spending varies from the district and state averages. For example, we calculate the school's variance from the district average using this formula:

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,393	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,928	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$81,837	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$101,061	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,274	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$111,584	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$125,308	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39	34
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	7

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2013-14)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		---
English		---
Fine and Performing Arts		---
Foreign Language		---
Mathematics		---
Science		---
Social Science		---
All courses		

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

* Where there are student course enrollments.

Professional Development

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Time is set aside for their continuing education and professional development. Collaboration days are scheduled every other Wednesday with additional staff meetings to discuss and implement the direction for addressing standards, common assessments, and overall student performance based on prior years CST and CAHSEE scores, and to prepare for WASC Accreditation. Professional Learning Communities and collaboration are an important part of our academic process because academic excellence is important to us.